

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/037,009	AJBANI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Henry Young. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004

Time: 1400

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 16-20, 23-26, 30, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment, that would fix grammatical errors in claims 1 and 2, and insert term and instead of and/or in claims 20, 30 and 31. The Title of the invention has also been changed to better defined the invention. Claims 16-19 and 23-26 have been amended to render claims as properly dependent..